



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,457	07/10/2001	Kuriacose Joseph	2050.001US6	9752
44367	7590	03/07/2012		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/OPEN TV			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938			GARG, YOGESH C	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com
SLW@blackhillsip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/903,457	Applicant(s) JOSEPH ET AL.	
	Examiner YOGESH C. GARG	Art Unit 3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YOGESH C. GARG. (3) _____.

(2) ELENA DRESZER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner Garg discussed the current amendments filed 1/18/2011 and informed Ms. Dreszer that in view of the current amendments previous Objection to Specification for introducing new matter and rejections under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs are now moot and withdrawn. However, new amendments present new problems such as (a) new amendments are not in line with the most recent Declaration filed 6/7/2010 and therefore would be subject to rejection under 35 USC 251 due to defective oath/declaration, (b) raises new indefiniteness issues and hence would be subject to rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection.

Examiner also suggested to Ms. Dreszer that while filing a new Substitute Declaration clauses/language should also be included as was discussed earlier for the parent Reissue Application 09/672523.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/YOGESH C GARG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625	
--	--